

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
First Appeal No.163 of 1990

Daropadi Devi
Versus
Shri Kishore Sinha

11. 03.11.2011.

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant
on the interlocutory application No.3325 of 2000.

This is a substitution application filed on
behalf of the appellant for substitution of the legal
representatives of the deceased respondent No.1.
The application is within time. It is stated that out of
the legal representatives as mentioned in detail in
paragraph 1 of the substitution application the widow,
Dropadi Devi, is already on record as appellant (now
she is also dead). The rest of the legal
representatives are required to be substituted.

Accordingly, the interlocutory application
No.3325 of 2000 is allowed and the legal
representatives as mentioned in detail in paragraph 1
of this interlocutory application are substituted in
place of the deceased respondent No.1 after deleting
his name.

As stated above since the widow who was
original appellant also died and, therefore, another
application, i.e., I.A. No.7413 of 2011 has been filed
on behalf of the legal representatives of the deceased
appellant as mentioned in detail in paragraph 4 who
were substituted earlier for being transposed as

appellant in this appeal. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is allowed and they are transposed as appellant in this appeal.

The learned counsel, Mr. Yogendra Mishra, submitted that he will file an application for restoration application within two weeks. Prayer is allowed.

Sanjeev/-

(Mungeshwar Sahoo,J.)

